Geometry, to appear in 1991.

- [To92] Toussaint, G. T., ed., *Proceedings of the IEEE*, Special Issue on Computational Geometry, to appear in 1992.
- [Ur83] Urquhart, R. B. "Some properties of the planar Euclidean relative neighborhood graph," *Pattern Recognition Letters*, vol. 1, July 1983, pp. 317-322.
- [Wa73] Wagner, T. J., "Deleted estimates of the Bayes risk," *Annals of Statistics*, vol. 1, March 1973, pp. 359-362.
- [We78] Wezka, J. S., "A survey of threshold selection techniques," *Computer Graphics and Image Processing*, vol. 7, 1978, pp. 259-265.

208.

- [To74] Toussaint, G. T., "Bibliography on estimation of misclassification," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. IT-20, July 1974, pp. 472-479.
- [To80a] Toussaint, G. T. "The relative neighborhood graph of a finite planar set," *Pattern Recognition*, vol. 12, 1980, pp. 261-268.
- [To80b] Toussaint, G. T. "Decomposing a simple polygon with the relative neighborhood graph," *Proceedings of the Allerton Conference*, October 1980, pp. 20-28.
- [To80c] Toussaint, G. T., "Pattern recognition and geometrical complexity," *Proc. Fifth International Joint Conf. on Pattern Recognition*, Miami, December 1980.
- [To83] Toussaint, G. T., "On the application of the convex hull to histogram analysis in threshold selection," *Pattern Recognition Letters*, vol. 2, Dec. 1983, pp. 75-77.
- [To83b] Toussaint, G. T., "Solving geometric problems with the rotating calipers," *Proc. ME-LECON'83*, Athens, Greece, May 1983.
- [To84] Toussaint, G. T., "An optimal algorithm for computing the minimum vertex distance between two crossing convex polygons," *Computing*, vol. 32, 1984, pp. 357-364.
- [To85a] Toussaint, G. T., ed., *Computational Geometry*, North-Holland, 1985.
- [To85b] Toussaint, G. T., "New results in computational geometry relevant to pattern recognition in practice," in *Proc. Pattern Recognition in Practice II*, Amsterdam, June 19-21, 1985.
- [To85c] Toussaint, G. T., "On the complexity of approximating polygonal curves in the plane," Proc. IASTED International Symposium on Robotics & Automation, Lugano, Switzerland, 1985.
- [To86] Toussaint, G. T. "Computational geometry and morphology," Science on Form: Proc. First International Symposium for Science on Form, S. Ishizaka, Ed., KTB Scientific Publishers, Tokyo, 1986, pp. 395-403.
- [To88] Toussaint, G. T., ed., *Computational Morphology*, North-Holland, 1988.
- [To88b] Toussaint, G. T., ed., *The Visual Computer*, Special Issue on Computational Geometry, vol. 3, No. 6, May 1988.
- [To88c] Toussaint, G. T., "A graph-theoretical primal sketch," in *Computational Morphology*, Toussaint, G. T., ed., North-Holland, 1988, pp. 229-260.
- [To88d] Toussaint, G. T., "Computing visibility properties of polygons," in *Pattern Recognition & Artificial Intelligence*, E.S. Gelsema & L. N. Kanal, Eds., North Holland, 1988, pp. 103-122.
- [To89] Toussaint, G. T., "Computing geodesic properties inside a simple polygon," *Revue d'Intelligence Artificielle*, vol. 3, No. 2, 1989, pp. 9-42.
- [To92] Toussaint, G. T., ed., Pattern Recognition Letters, Special Issue on Computational

saint, G. T., ed., North-Holland, 1988, pp. 105-136.

- [Ro69] Rosenfeld, A., *Picture Processing by Computer*, Academic Press, 1969.
- [RT83] Rosenfeld, A. and de la Torre, P., "Histogram con-cavity analysis as an aid in threshold selection," *IEEE Trans. Systems, Man and Cybernetics*, vol. 13, 1983, pp. 231-235.
- [Ru75] Rutovitz, D., "An algorithm for in-line generation of a convex cover," *Computer Graphics and Image Processing*, vol. 4, 1975, pp. 74-78.
- [Se82] Serra, J., *Image Analysis & Mathematical Morphology*, Academic Press, 1982.
- [SF88] Senechal, M. and Fleck, G., eds., *Shaping Space: A Polyhedral Approach*, Birkhauser, 1988.
- [SSH87] Schwartz, J. T., Sharir, M., and Hopcroft, J., *Planning, Geometry, and the Complexity* of *Robot Motion*, Norwood, 1987.
- [St91] Stolfi, J., Oriented Projective Geometry, Academic Press, Inc., 1991.
- [Su84] Sugihara, K., "An O(n log n) algorithm for determining the congruity of polyhedra," *Journal of Computer and Systems Sciences*, vol. 29., 1984, pp. 36-47.
- [Su83] Supowit, K. J. "The relative neighborhood graph, with an application to minimum spanning trees," *Journal of the ACM*, vol. 30, No. 3, July 1983, pp. 428-448.
- [Su86] Sugihara, K., Machine Interpretation of Line Drawings, M.I.T. Press, 1986.
- [Su92] Sugihara, K., *Spatial Tessellations Concepts and Applications of Voronoi Diagrams*, John Wiley and Sons, London, 1992.
- [SY87] Schwartz, J. T. and Yap, C. K., *Algorithmic and Geometric Aspects of Robotics*, Erlbaum, 1987.
- [TA82] Toussaint, G. T. and Avis, D., "On a convex hull algorithm for polygons and its application to triangulation problems," *Pattern Recognition*, vol. 15, 1982, pp. 23-29.
- [TB81] Toussaint, G. T., and Bhattacharya, B. K., "Optimal algorithms for computing the minimum distance between two finite planar sets," *Proc. Fifth International Congress of Cybernetics and Systems*, Mexico City, August 1981.
- [TBP84] Toussaint, G. T., Bhattacharya, B. K., and Poulsen, R. S., "The application of Voronoi diagrams to non-parametric decision rules," *Proc. Computer Science & Statistics: 16th Symposium on the Interface*, Atlanta, Georgia, March 14-16, 1984.
- [TM82] Toussaint, G. T., and McAlear, J. A., "A simple O(n log n) algorithm for finding the maximum distance between two finite planar sets," *Pattern Recognition Letters*, vol. 1, October 1982, pp. 21-24.
- [To70] Toussaint, G. T., "On a simple Minkowski metric classifier," *IEEE Transactions on Systems Science & Cybernetics*, vol. SSC-6, October 1970, pp. 360-362.
- [To72] Toussaint, G. T., "Polynomial representation of classifiers with independent discretevalued features," *IEEE Transactions on Computers*, vol. C-21, February 1972, pp. 205-

structing β -skeletons in L_p metric," manuscript 1989.

- [JS71] Jardine, N. and Sibson, R., *Mathematical Taxonomy*, John Wiley, 1971.
- [[Ke85] Keil, J. M., "Decomposing a polygon into simpler components," *SIAM Journal of Computing*, vol. 14, No. 4, November 1985, pp. 799-817.
- [K189] Klein, R., Concrete and Abstract Voronoi Diagrams, Springer-Verlag, 1989.
- [KR85] Kirkpatrick D. G. and J. D. Radke, "A framework for computational morphology," in *Computational Geometry*, G. T. Toussaint, Ed., North-Holland, 1985, pp. 217-248.
- [Le80] Lee, D. T. "Two dimensional Voronoi diagram in the L_p metric," *Journal of the ACM*, vol. 27, 1980, pp. 604-618.
- [Le82] Lee, D. T. "Medial axis transformation of a planar shape," *IEEE Trans. Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. PAMI-4, No. 4, July 1982, pp. 363-369.
- [LP77] Lee, D. T., and Preparata, F. P., "Location of a point in a planar subdivision and its applications," *SIAM Journal of Computing*, vol. 6., 1977, pp. 594-606.
- [LT87] Leou, J.-J. and Tsai, W.-H., "Automatic rotational symmetry determination for shape analysis," *Pattern Recognition*, vol. 20, No. 6, 1987, pp. 571-582.
- [Ma72] Maruyama, K., "A study of visual shape perception," University of Illinois, Tech. Rept., UIUCDCS-R-72-533, 1972.
- [Meh84] Mehlhorn, K., *Multidimensional Searching and Computational Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, 1984.
- [Mi70] Miles, R. E. "On the homogeneous planar Poisson point process," *Mathematical Biosciences*, vol. 6, 1970, pp. 85-127.
- [MP69] Minsky, M. and S. Papert, *Perceptrons: An Introduction to Computational Geometry*, M.I.T. Press, 1969.
- [MS80] Matula D. W. and R. R. Sokal, "Properties of Gabriel graphs relevant to geographic variation research and the clustering of points in the plane," *Geographical Analysis*, vol. 12, 1980, pp. 205-222.
- [NT70] Nagy, G., and Tuong, N., "Normalization techniques for handprinted numerals," Communications of the ACM, vol. 13, No. 8, August 1970, pp. 475-485.
- [Ol89] Olariu, S. "A simple linear-time algorithm for computing the RNG and MST of unimodal polygons," *Information Processing Letters*, vol. 31, June 1989, pp. 243-247.
- [O'R87] O'Rourke, J., Art Gallery Theorems and Algorithms, Oxford University Press, 1987.
- [Pr83] Preparata, F., ed., *Computational Geometry*, JAI Press, 1983.
- [PS85] Preparata, F. P. and Shamos, M. I., *Computational Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, 1985.
- [Ra88] Radke, J. D. "On the shape of a set of points," in *Computational Morphology*, Tous-

polygon," Tech. Rept. 88-26, Johns Hopkins University.

- [Ea88] Eades, P., "Symmetry finding algorithms," in *Computational Morphology*, ed., G. T. Toussaint, North-Holland, 1988, pp. 41-51.
- [Ed87] Edelsbrunner, H., Algorithms in Combinatorial Geometry, Springer-Verlag, 1987.
- [ET88] ElGindy H. A. and G. T. Toussaint, "Computing the relative neighbor decomposition of a simple polygon," in *Computational Morphology*, G. T. Toussaint, Editor, North-Holland, pp. 53-70.
- [FBF77] Friedman, J. H., Bentley, J. L., & Finkel, R. A., "An algorithm for finding best matches in logarithmic expected time," ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, vol. 3, September 1977, pp. 209-226.
- [FP75] Feng, H.-Y., and T. Pavlidis, "Decomposition of polygons into simpler components: Feature generation for syntactic pattern recognition," *IEEE Trans. Computers*, vol. C-24, No. 6, June 1975, pp. 636-650.
- [GB78] Getis A. and B. Boots, *Models of Spatial Processes: An Approach to the Study of Point, Line, and Area Patterns,* Cambridge University Press, 1978.
- [HH89] Hopcroft, J. E., and Huttenlocher, D. P., "On planar point matching under affine transformation," *First Canadian Conference on Computational Geometry*, McGill University, August 1989, also Tech. Rept. 89-986, Dept. Computer Science, Cornell University, April 1989.
- [Ho86] Horn, B. K. P., *Robot Vision*, M.I.T. Press, 1986.
- [HS85] Haralick, R. M., and Shapiro, L. G., "Survey-image segmentation techniques," *Computer Vision, Graphics & Image Processing*, vol. 29, 1985, pp. 100-132.
- [HS89] Hershberger, J. and Suri, S., "Finding tailored partitions," *Proc. Fifth ACM Symposium* on Computational Geometry, Saarbruchen, June 5-7, 1989, pp. 255-265.
- [HT73] Hopcroft, J. E. and Tarjan, R. E., "A V log V algorithm for isomorphism of triconnected planar graphs," *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, vol. 7, 1973, pp. 323-331.
- [HU87] Huttenlocher, D. P., and Ullman, S., "Object recognition using alignment," Proc. First International Conf. on Computer Vision, IEEE Computer Society Press, 1987, pp. 102-111.
- [II85] Imai, H., and Iri, M., "Computational geometric methods for polygonal approximations of a curve," Tech. Rept. RMI 85-01, January 1985, University of Tokyo.
- [II88] Imai, H., and Iri, M., "Polygonal approximations of a curve Formulations & algorithms," in *Computational Morphology*, G. T. Toussaint, Ed., North-Holland, 1988.
- [JK89] Jaromczyk J. W. and M. Kowaluk, "Constructing the relative neighborhood graphs in 3-dimensional Euclidean space," manuscript 1989.
- [JKY89] Jaromczyk, J. W., M. Kowaluk, and F. Frances Yao, "An optimal algorithm for con-

1985, pp. 323-327.

- [AMWW88] Alt, H., Mehlhorn, K., Wagener, H. and Welzl, E., "Congruence, similarity and symmetries of geometric objects," *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, vol. 3, 1988, pp. 237-256.
- [ART87] Aggarwal, A., P. Raghavan, and P. Tiwari, "Lower bounds for closest pair and related problems in simple polygons," *IBM T. J. Watson Tech. Rept.*, in press.
- [AS83] Ahuja, N., and Schacter, B. J., Pattern Models, John Wiley, 1983.
- [AT78] Akl, S. G. and Toussaint, G. T., "An improved algorithm to check for polygon similarity," *Information Processing Letters*, vol. 7, 1978, pp. 127-128.
- [AT81] Avis, D. and Toussaint, G. T., "An efficient algorithm for decomposing a polygon into star-shaped pieces," *Pattern Recognition*, vol. 13, 1981, pp. 295-298.
- [Ba68] Bartz, M. R., "The IBM 1975 optical page reader," *IBM J. Res. Develop.*, vol. 12, September 1968, pp. 354-363.
- [BB82] Ballard, D. H. and Brown, C. M., Computer Vision, Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1982.
- [BT83] Bhattacharya, B. K., and Toussaint, G. T., "Efficient algorithms for computing the maximum distance between two finite planar sets," *Journal of Algorithms*, vol. 4, 1983, pp. 121-136.
- [BT87] Bhattacharya, B. K., and Toussaint, G. T., "Fast algorithms for computing the diameter of a finite planar set," *Proc. Computer Graphics International 1987*, Ed., T. L. Kunii, Springer-Verlag 1987, pp. 89-104.
- [Ch75] Chvatal, V., "A combinatorial theorem in plane geometry," *Journal of Combinatorial Theory Series B*, vol. 18, 1975, pp. 39-41.
- [CH67] Cover, T. M., and Hart, P. E., "Nearest neighbour pattern classification," *IEEE Trans*actions on Information Theory, vol. IT-13, 1967, pp. 21-27.
- [CPT77] Cahn, R. L., Poulsen, R. S., and Toussaint, G. T., "Segmentation of cervical cell images," *Journal of Histochemistry and Cytochemistry*, vol. 25, No. 7, 1977, pp. 681-688.
- [De81] Devroye, L. P., "On the inequality of Cover & Hart in nearest neighbour discrimination," *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis & Machine Intelligence*, vol. PAMI-3, January 1981, pp. 75-78.
- [De86] Dehne, F., Parallel Computational Geometry and Clustering Methods, Wurzburg 1986.
- [De88] Devroye, L. "The expected size of some graphs in computational geometry," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 15, No. 1, 1988, pp. 53-64.
- [DH72] Duda, R. O. and Hart, P. E., *Pattern Classification & Scene Analysis*, John-Wiley & Sons, 1972.
- [DO88] Diaz, M. and O'Rourke, J., "Algorithms for computing the center of area of a convex

 $\{(\mathbf{X}_1, \boldsymbol{\theta}_1), (\mathbf{X}_2, \boldsymbol{\theta}_2), ..., (\mathbf{X}_n, \boldsymbol{\theta}_n)\}$ in turn and classify it with the remaining set [Wa73]. Geometrically this problem reduces to computing for a given set of points in *d*-space the nearest neighbour of each (the all-nearest-neighbours problem).

6. **Proximity Graphs**

Almost every aspect of computer vision, as we have seen, can benefit enormously from the application of proximity graphs and therefore we devote an entire section of the paper to such graphs. Many problems that would presumably be useful for computer vision remain open and we state some of them here to bring them to the attention of interested readers.

6.1 Recognizing Proximity Graphs

One area as yet almost totally unexplored concerns the question of the recognition of proximity graphs. The only known result concerns Delaunay triangulations. Given a triangulation T of a set of n points, Ash & Bolker [AB85] have shown that whether T is a Delaunay triangulation can be determined in O(n) time under mild assumptions.

6.2 Graph Theoretic Properties of Proximity Graphs

Another area which has received little attention concerns the determination of graph theoretical properties of proximity graphs. The only proximity graphs which have been carefully examined are the Gabriel graph [MS80] and the RNG [Ur83].

6.3 Probabilistic Properties of Proximity Graphs

Yet another area which has received little attention concerns the determination of probabilistic and statistical properties of proximity graphs. The only proximity graphs which have been carefully examined are the Delaunay triangulation, the Gabriel graph, and the RNG. Miles [Mi70] has done considerable work on the probability distribution of random variables describing characteristics of the Delaunay triangulation. See also Getis & Boots [GB78]. Devroye [De88] obtains a variety of results concerning the expected number of edges in proximity graphs such as the Gabriel graph, the RNG and several types of nearest neighbour graphs. No results of this type are known for the other proximity graphs discussed in this paper.

7. References

- [AB85] Ash P. F. and E. D. Bolker, "Recognizing Dirichlet tessellations," *Geometria Dedicata*, vol. 19, 1985, pp. 175-206.
- [ABGW90] Alt, H., Blomer, J., Godau, M. and Wagener, H., "Approximation of convex polygons," *ICALP*, 1990.
- [AGSS] Aggarwal, A., L. Guibas, J. Saxe, and P. W. Shor, "A linear time algorithm for computing the Voronoi diagram of a convex polygon," *Proc. 19th ACM Symposium on the Theory of Computing*, 1987, pp. 39-45.
- [AH85] Avis D. and J. Horton, "Remarks on the sphere of influence graph," in *Discrete Geometry and Convexity*, Eds., J. E. Goodman et al., New York Academy of Sciences,

can be computed in linear time.

5. Decision Rules

Once a feature vector $\mathbf{X} = [x_1, x_2, ..., x_d]$ has been extracted from an object in the image it is often desired to classify the object into one of a predetermined set of pattern classes or categories. There are scores of methods for doing this [DH72].

5.1 Parametric Decision Rules

In parametric classification we assume that \mathbf{X} is a random variable with some specified probability density function or distribution described by some parameters that are usually estimated from data. In this approach one is often called upon to compute distances between sets under varying types of metrics [To70]. Such is in fact an implicit computation of the Voronoi diagram where the seeds are the estimates of location for the distributions. Alternately, one may seek to describe geometrically the decision boundaries themselves, i.e., the manner in which the discriminant functions partition the feature space into regions associated with the pattern classes [To72].

5.2 Non-parametric Decision Rules

In the non-parametric classification problem we have available a set of *n* feature vectors taken from a collected data set of *n* objects denoted by $\{\mathbf{X},\Theta\}=\{(\mathbf{X}_1,\theta_1), (\mathbf{X}_2,\theta_2),..., (\mathbf{X}_n,\theta_n)\}$, where \mathbf{X}_i and θ_i denote, respectively, the feature vector on the ith object and the class label of the object. One of the most powerful such techniques is the so-called nearest-neighbour rule (NN-rule) [CH67], [De81]. Let \mathbf{Y} be a new object (feature vector) to be classified and let $\mathbf{X}_k^* \in \{\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, ..., \mathbf{X}_n\}$ be the feature vector closest to \mathbf{Y} . The nearest neighbour decision rule classifies the unknown object \mathbf{Y} as belonging to class θ_k^* .

In the past some practitioners have avoided using the NN-rule on the grounds of the mistaken assumptions that (1) all the data $\{\mathbf{X},\Theta\}$ must be stored in order to implement such a rule and (2) to determine \mathbf{X}_k^* , distances must be computed between \mathbf{Y} and all members of $\{\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, ..., \mathbf{X}_n\}$. Both of these problems have been eradicated with techniques from computational geometry. Various methods exist for computing a nearest neighbour without computing distances to all the candidates [FBF77]. In fact, the point location techniques [LP77] do not compute any distances at all. Furthermore, not all the "training" data $\{\mathbf{X},\Theta\}$ is required to be stored. Methods have been developed [TBP84] to edit "redundant" members of $\{\mathbf{X},\Theta\}$ in order to obtain a relatively small subset of $\{\mathbf{X},\Theta\}$ that nevertheless implements exactly the same decision rule as using all of $\{\mathbf{X},\Theta\}$. Such methods depend heavily on the use of Voronoi diagrams and proximity graphs such as the Gabriel graph [TBP84].

5.3 Estimation of Misclassification

A most important and too often neglected problem in computer vision concerns the proper experimental methodology for estimating the performance of a decision rule. For a survey of early work on this topic see [To74]. Many geometric problems occur here as well. For example, a good method of estimating the performance of the NN-rule is to delete each member of $\{X, \Theta\}$ =

it by 0.5. The same trick reduces Avis & Horton's bound by 0.5. David Avis has found examples that require 9n edges and conjectures that the best upper bound is in fact 9n.

4.3 Polygon Decomposition

4.3.1 Simple polygons

In 1975 Vasek Chvatal [Ch75] proved that n/3 guards were always sufficient, and sometimes necessary, to guard (jointly see) the complete interior of a simple polygon (art gallery) consisting of n walls or vertices. This result has come to be known as Chvatal's *Art Gallery Theorem* and has since evolved to fill out an entire book on the subject {O'R 87]. Avis and Toussaint in 1981 obtained an O(n log n) time algorithm for actually placing the guards and noted that this algorithm also decomposes the polygon into at most n/3 star-shaped components [AT81] improving on the complexity of a previous algorithm for this problem [Ma72].

The problems of decomposing simple polygons into various types of more structured polygons have a number of practical applications and have received considerable attention recently from the theoretical perspective. See [To88a] for several papers discussing recent issues. In pattern recognition it is desired to obtain decompositions into perceptually meaningful parts. The so-called *component-directed* methods or *region-based* covers and partitions decompose the polygon into well established classes of simpler polygons such as triangles, squares, rectangles as well as convex, monotone, or star-shaped polygons [To88a]. These decompositions however are rarely satisfactory from the morphological point of view although they do have their place in other contexts. An alternate approach which may be superior from this point of view is the use of procedure-directed methods based on proximity graphs. In [To80b] it was proposed to use the relative-neigh*bour decomposition* (RND) of a simple polygon P of n vertices and an $O(n^3)$ time algorithm for its computation was given. ElGindy and Toussaint [ET88] have since reduced this complexity to $O(n^2)$. Two vertices p_i and p_j of a simple polygon are relative neighbours if their lune contains no other vertices of P that are visible from either p_i or p_j . Two vertices p_i and p_j are visible if the line segment $[p_i, p_j]$ lies in P. It is unknown whether this decomposition can be found in $o(n^2)$ time and neither is a super-linear lower bound known for this problem.

4.3.2 Special classes of polygons

The fastest known algorithm [ET88] for computing the RND of a simple polygon is $O(n^2)$. On the other hand, for *convex* polygons the RND can be computed in O(n) time [Su83], and so can the Delaunay triangulation [AGSS]. However, it is shown in [ART87] that $O(n \log n)$ is a lower bound for computing the Delaunay triangulation on the vertices of a *star-shaped* or *monotone* polygon. It is unknown whether any other proximity graphs can be computed in linear time for the case of convex polygons. Furthermore, for most proximity graphs it is unknown whether they can be computed in $o(n^2)$ time for special classes of simple polygons such as *star-shaped, monotone* or *unimodal* polygons. For *unimodal* polygons the RNG and MST can be computed in O(n) time [Ol89]. It is unknown whether the Delaunay triangulation on the vertices of a *unimodal* polygon

of a set of disconnected dots. Such "objects" are called *dot-patterns* and are well modeled as sets of points. Thus one of the central problems in shape analysis is extracting or describing the shape of a set of points. Let $S = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$ be a finite set of points in the plane. A proximity graph on a set of points is a graph obtained by connecting two points in the set by an edge if the two points are close, in some sense, to each other. The relative neighborhood graph (RNG) [To80a] and the β -skeletons [KR85] are two proximity graphs that have been well investigated in this context. The lune of x_i and x_j , denoted by Lune (x_i, x_j) , is defined as the intersection of the two discs centered at x_i and x_j with radius equal to the distance between x_i and x_j . The RNG is obtained by joining two points x_i and x_j of S with an edge if Lune (x_i, x_j) does not contain any other points of S in its interior. By generalizing the shape of $Lune(x_i, x_i)$ one obtains generalizations of the RNG. One of the best known proximity graphs on a set of points is the Delaunay triangulation (DT) and it is well known that the DT is a supergraph of the RNG [To80a]. The β -skeletons are a generalization of RNG's and Gabriel graphs [MS80] and the lune-based neighborhoods in question are a function of a parameter β . For particular values of β , the β -skeleton reduces to the RNG and the Gabriel graph. In [To88c] a new graph termed the sphere-of-influence graph is proposed as a primal sketch intended to capture the low-level perceptual structure of visual scenes consisting of dot-patterns (pointsets). The graph suffers from none of the drawbacks of previous methods and for a dot pattern consisting of n dots can be computed efficiently in O(n log n) time. For a survey of the most recent results in this area the reader is referred to the paper by Radke [Ra88].

4.2.1 The Relative Neighborhood Graph

In [JK89] it is shown that the RNG in 3-space can be computed in $O(n^2 \log n)$ time and $O(\mu_3(S))$ space where $\mu_3(S)$ denotes the size of RNG(S). It is an open question whether this upper bound can be improved. It is also not known how large $\mu_3(S)$ can be over all instances of S. Denote this value by $\mu_3(n)$. It is shown in [JK89] that $\mu_3(n) = O(n^{(3/2)+c})$ where *c* is a positive constant and they conjecture that $\mu_3(n) = O(n)$.

4.2.2 β-Skeletons

In [KR85] it was shown that lune-based β -skeletons with $\beta > 1$ could be computed in O(n²) time. In [JKY89] it is shown that lune-based β -skeletons with $1 \le \beta \le 2$ can be constructed in linear time from the Delaunay triangulation in any L_p metric. The Delaunay triangulation in any L_p metric can be computed in O(n log n) time [Le80]. It is an open question whether for $\beta > 2$ these skeletons can be computed in o(n²) time.

4.2.3 The Sphere of Influence Graph

Avis and Horton [AH85] showed that the number of edges in the sphere-of-influence graph is bounded above by 29n. The best upper bound to date is 17.5. This follows from a lemma of Bateman in geometrical extrema suggested by a lemma of Besicovitch (*Geometry*, May 1951, pp. 667-675) and an observation of Kachalski. Bateman's lemma gives 18n and Kachalski's trick reduces

a pre-stored set B from a collection of sets representing the different pattern classes. The geometric problem here is to determine whether there exists an affine transformation (a general linear transformation followed by a translation) that maps each point of A onto a corresponding point of B. Only recently has computational geometry been invoked here [HU87], [HH89] and much work remains to be done. For the special case in which the cardinalities of A and B are equal, whether such a transformation exists can be determined in $\theta(n \log n)$ time where n is the said cardinality [HH89]. For a variety of computational geometric results in this area the reader is referred to [AMWW88]. A related problem here is to compute the *similarity* or *distance* between two polygons which could represent the boundaries of shapes or the convex hulls of sets of points [To84]. This problem is in turn closely related to the problem of approximating polygons by smoother ones or by polygons with fewer vertices [ABGW90], [To85].

4. Computational Morphology

Computational morphology is concerned with the analysis, description, and synthesis of shapes and patterns from a computational point of view. It is therefore of central concern to computer vision. Once the objects in an image have been normalized, smoothed, and cleaned up it is time to measure their shape using mathematical descriptors of shape [Se82]. This is referred to as feature extraction.

4.1 Feature Extraction

Typically we calculate *d* features or measurements of the shape of an object yielding a feature vector $\mathbf{X}=[x_1,x_2,...,x_d]$. Thus an object, modeled as a polygon **P**, is mapped through this process into a point in *d*-dimensional *feature-space*. Most features employed are of a geometric nature and computational geometry has much to contribute to this aspect of computer vision as well. For example the medial axis of **P** is a very powerful morphological descriptor [Le82] as are visibility [To88d] and geodesic [To89] properties.

Symmetry is an important feature in the analysis and synthesis of shape and form [LT87]. As such it is not surprising that it has received considerable attention in the pattern recognition, image processing, and computer graphics literatures. One of the earliest applications of computational geometry to symmetry detection was the algorithm of Akl & Toussaint [AT78] to check for polygon similarity. Since then attention has been given to other aspects of symmetry and for objects other than polygons. For example, Sugihara [Su84] shows how a modification of the planar graph-isomorphism algorithm of Hopcroft and Tarjan [HT73] can be used to find all symmetries of a wide class of polyhedra in O(n log n) time.

Given a convex polygon P, associate with each point p in P the minimum area of the polygon to the left of any *chord* through p. The maximum over all points in P is known as *Winternitz's Measure of Symmetry* and the point p* that achieves this maximum is called the *center of area*. Diaz and O'Rourke [DO88] show that p* is unique and propose an algorithm for computing p* in time $O(n^6 \log^2 n)$. For a survey of the most recent work on detecting symmetry see [Ea88].

4.2 The Shape of a Set of Points

In some contexts such as the analysis of pictures of bubble-chamber events in particle physics the input patterns are not well described by polygons because the pattern may consist essentially metry to cluster analysis can be found in [De86]. For more recent and novel approaches to the problem of partitioning point sets see [HS89]. Most cluster analysis algorithms depend heavily on the computation of distances. The distance may be the diameter of a single set [BT87] or the minimum [TB81] or maximum [BT83], [TM82] distance between two sets.

3. Image Processing

Once the objects in the image have been isolated they are massaged in one form or another with the goal of making eventual classification easier. At this stage the objects may be treated simply as a connected collection of pixels which are processed usually in parallel in the more traditional forms of image processing [MP69], [Ro69], or they may be represented by their boundary as polygons and processed using computational geometry in the more modern approach [ET88], [Ke85] which nevertheless has early roots in the pioneering work of Feng & Pavlidis [FP75].

3.1 Normalization

Normalization is performed to make feature extraction simpler and to obtain better results. Many such techniques are inherently geometric in nature. For example, in the context of handprinted numeral recognition Nagy & Tuong [NT70] compute the convex hull of the boundary polygon of a numeral, determine its four extreme points in the diagonal directions and then use a geometric projective transformation to map the resulting quadrilateral into a square. Other approaches involve finding the minimum-area rectangle enclosing the polygon for which a simple linear-time algorithm is known [To83b].

3.2 Smoothing, Enhancement & Approximation

In spite of the application of normalization and noise removal the resulting boundary polygons of objects may still require smoothing or enhancement and it may also be desired to reduce the number of vertices of the polygons while retaining their inherent shape using polygonal approximation methods in order to reduce the complexity of subsequent algorithms applied to the polygons. Here again is an area where computational geometry is playing an ever increasing role. Smoothing and enhancement can be carried out for example by deleting carefully chosen branches of the medial axis of the polygon [Le82]. Given a polygonal planar curve $P = (p_1, p_2, ..., p_n)$ the polygonal approximation problem can be cast in many different molds. One such version for example calls for determining a new curve $P = (p'_1, p'_2, ..., p'_m)$ such that, 1) m < n, 2) the p'_iare a subset of the p_i , and 3) any line segment $[p'_{j}, p'_{j+1}]$ which substitutes the chain corresponding to $[p_r,...,p_s]$ in P is such that the distance between every p_k for k between r and s and the approximating line segment is less than some predetermined error tolerance. Recently Iri and Imal [II85] proposed an elegant $O(n^3)$ algorithm that finds the approximation that minimizes m subject to the two other constraints. In [To85c] it is shown how the complexity of their algorithm can be reduced to $O(n^2 \log n)$ time when the error criterion is changed. Furthermore, it is shown that the complexity of the method can be further reduced to $O(n^2)$ if the curves are monotonic in a known direction.

For a survey of polygonal approximation techniques the reader is referred to the excellent paper by Imai & Iri [II88].

3.3 Pattern Matching

One approach to pattern recognition avoids feature extraction or shape analysis altogether and instead tries to match a set of points A (fiducial points obtained from the unknown object) to of the original range of light intensity values into a pre-specified number of sub-ranges called *greylevels*. In a binary picture there are only two levels and we speak of a "black-and-white" image. The image segmentation problem consists of receiving a digital image $\mathbf{I} = \{p_{ij} | 1 \le i, j \le n\}$, consisting of an $n \times n$ array (also viewed as a square lattice) of pixels p_{ij} , as input and producing a labelled planar subdivision of \mathbf{I} as output. This presupposes labelling each pixel into categories.

This having been done each connected component of **I** consisting of pixels with the same label or category corresponds to one of the regions in the subdivision. Each such connected component will be called an *object* in the image. For a survey of image segmentation techniques the reader is referred to [HS85]. We discuss only two methods here.

2.1 Histogram Analysis and Threshold Selection

One of the simplest methods of segmenting an image, but not a very powerful one, is to compute a histogram of all the pixels with every intensity value and select some threshold values at the "significant" local minima of the histogram. Clearly, selecting k thresholds will yield k+1categories of pixels. For simple pictures and simple tasks a single threshold which partitions the image into "figure" and "background" is sufficient. For an example of the application of thresholding to the segmentation of cervical cell images in the context of automated cervical cancer recognition the reader is referred to [CPT77]. In this example the pixels are classified into three categories corresponding to the labels: nucleus, cytoplasm, and background. Another area where thresholding is used quite successfully is character recognition [Ba68]. There are a variety of methods for selecting thresholds [We78] and computational geometry is only beginning to be applied here. For example, a frequently used heuristic for segmenting an image into grey-level clusters or objects is to select thresholds at the bottoms of "valleys" on the histogram of the digital image. In a novel approach Rosenfeld and de la Torre [RT83] proposed selecting the thresholds through a more involved analysis of the convex deficiency of the histogram. The convex deficiency is obtained by subtracting (in the set-theoretical sense) the histogram from its convex hull. In order to compute the convex hull of the histogram they propose an algorithm of Rutovitz [Ru75] which runs in time $O(n^2)$ where n is the number of grey levels. However, as pointed out in [To83], the fact that a histogram is a very special type of polygon, namely a monotonic polygon allows us to compute the convex hull with a very simple O(n) time algorithm [TA82].

2.2 Cluster Analysis

One of the most powerful approaches to image segmentation that lends itself to the application of complicated images such as those of outdoor scenes is the method of clustering and this is an area where a great deal of computational geometry can be readily applied. In this approach each pixel is treated as a complicated object by associating it with a local neighborhood in **I**. For example, we may define a 5 × 5 neighborhood of pixel p_{ij} , denoted by N_5 [p_{ij}], as { $p_{mn} | i-2 \le m \le i+2$, $j-2 \le n \le j+2$ }. We next measure *k* properties of p_{ij} by making *k* measurements in N_5 [p_{ij}]. Such measurements may include various moments of the intensity values (grey levels) found in N_5 [p_{ij}], etc. Thus each pixel is mapped into a point in *k*-dimensional *pixel-space*. Performing a cluster analysis of all the resulting $n \times n$ points in pixel-space yields the desired partitioning of the pixels into categories. For an elegant treatment of the subject of cluster analysis the reader is referred to the book by Jardine and Sibson [JS71]. A good treatment of the application of computational geo-



[PS85] covers most of the early work in this area. Mehlhorn [Me84] contains a subset of the material found in Preparata & Shamos and a few different results. The combinatorial aspects of discrete and computational geometry are treated in depth in the book by Edelsbrunner [Ed88]. The question of visibility, of great interest to graphics, computer vision and robotics, is notoriously absent from the three texts mentioned above. However visibility is given a clear, excellent, and comprehensive treatment in the recent book by O'Rourke [O'R87]. Other computational geometric aspects of computer graphics are well treated by Stolfi [St91]. One of the most fundamental structures in computational geometry is the Voronoi diagram and since the "birth" of computational geometry a score of variants on this structure have appeared. The books by Rolf Klein [K189] and Kokichi Sugihara [Su92] are entirely devoted to this subject. There have also appeared three books which are collections of papers covering almost all aspects of computational geometry. The book edited by Preparata [Pr83] contains twelve papers on early material. More recent results can be found in the two books edited by Toussaint [To85], [To88a] and in the robotics-oriented collections edited by Schwartz et al., [SSH87] and Schwartz & Yap [SY87]. Journals are also starting to devote special issues to computational geometry such as The Visual Computer [To88], Pattern Recognition Letters [To92], and The Proceedings of the IEEE [To92]. Finally we mention a book which, although may not contain much on the *computational* aspects of geometry, certainly covers much material of direct interest to computer vision. This is the delightful book edited by Senechal & Fleck [SF88]. In addition to these books there exist three survey papers on those aspects of computational geometry of most relevance to computer vision [To80c], [To85b], and [To86].

2. Image Segmentation

The transducer converts a light intensity array from the real world into a two dimensional array or digital image of *pixels* (picture elements) which are numbers resulting from a quantization

Computational Geometry and Computer Vision

Godfried T. Toussaint School of Computer Science McGill University Montreal Canada

ABSTRACT

Computer vision is concerned with the development of machines that can process visual information. Computational geometry is concerned with the design of algorithms for solving geometric problems. Most problems in computer vision can be couched in geometric terms. In this paper we outline how computational geometry may significantly contribute to almost every aspect of computer vision and we provide pointers to a selection of the computational geometry literature where some of the most relevant results can be found.

1. Introduction

Computer vision has flourished now for some forty years as a sub-discipline of artificial intelligence and hundreds of books are readily available on the subject and will not be mentioned here. The best early book on computer vision, and still up to date from the point of view of discriminant function analysis, is the text by Duda & Hart [DH73]. Popular more recent books include Ballard & Brown [BB82] and Horn [Ho86]. Finally we mention the first two books that are the fruit of the marriage between computer vision and computational geometry and these are the monographs by Ahuja & Schacter [AS83] and Sugihara [Su86].

It is useful to decompose the computer vision problem into a series of subproblems that are usually tackled sequentially and separately in some order such as that illustrated in Fig. 1. The purpose of a computer vision program is to analyze a scene in the real world with the aid of an input device which is usually some form of transducer such as a digital camera and to arrive at a description of the scene which is useful for the accomplishment of some task. For example, the scene may consist of an envelope in the post office, the description may consist of a series of numbers supposedly accurately identifying the zip code on the envelope, and the task may be the sorting of the envelopes by geographical region for subsequent distribution. Typically the camera yields a twodimensional array of numbers each representing the quantized amount of light or brightness of the real world scene at a particular location in the field of view. The first computational stage in the process consists of segmenting the image into meaningful objects. The next stage usually involves processing the objects to remove noise of one form or another. The third stage consists of feature extraction or measuring the "shape" of the objects. The final stage is concerned with classifying the object into one or more categories on which some subsequent task depends.

Computational geometry, a fifteen-year old explosive discipline of computer science, continues to flourish at an exponentially increasing rate and make its presence felt in new areas. Several books have already appeared on the subject. An introductory text by Preparata & Shamos